



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/116,019 09/02/93 BEERY

J JB2-S

EXAMINER

POWELL, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

9

26M2/0218

THOMAS A. BOSHINSKI
210 DAPPLEGATE WAY
ALPHARETTA, GA 30202

2602

DATE MAILED:

02/18/94

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 7 month(s), 0 day(s) from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
- Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
- Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
- _____

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- Claims 1-10 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claims _____ have been cancelled.
- Claims _____ are allowed.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected.
- Claims _____ are objected to.
- Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
- This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
- Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
- The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
- The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
- The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).
- Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received _____ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
- Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
- Other

Art Unit: 2602

The reissue oath or declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to distinctly specify the excesses and/or insufficiencies in the claims. See 37 CFR 1.175a(3).

The requirement to "distinctly specify" is quite strict. Every change or departure from the original patent must be specifically addressed. In the present case, applicant must identify, claim-element by claim-element, every departure of the new claims from the old. In that manner, the change in scope of the claims may be ascertained on the record.

Counsel is encouraged to telephone the examiner if clarification in this regard is needed.

Claims 1-10 are accordingly rejected under 35 USC 251 as based on the defective reissue oath.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Powell whose telephone number is (703) 305-4376.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

MRP
February 17, 1994

Mark R. Powell
MARK R. POWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2600